

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

DANNY INGRAM

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 6:01-CR-31 (4)

USM No. 09389-078

Defendant's Attorney: Pro Se

**Date of Previous Judgment:** March 5, 2002  
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment, if Applicable)

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. §3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the Court under 18 U.S.C. §3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.**      ☐ **GRANTED**

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE** (Prior to any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Amended Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Previous Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ months

Amended Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ months

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.  
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.  
☐ Other. (**explain**):

**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 4th day of August, 2008.**



\_\_\_\_\_  
**LEONARD DAVIS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**